Technical Note | Narrative Engineering Laboratory

Author: Levent Bulut | ORCID: 0009-0007-7500-2261 | License: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

The Habituation Problem: Repeated Exposure and the Limits of a Fixed Physical Matrix

This is not a defence. It is an extension.

A serious critique of the Bulut Doctrine was recently raised: habituation. The argument is straightforward if a reader encounters the same Physical Matrix repeatedly, ANS response diminishes over time. The first time a 28.4°C enclosed space with a single exit produces measurable sympathetic activation. The fifth time, the reader has adapted. The system's biophysical output decays.

This is a real phenomenon. It is documented in psychophysiology. It is not a fringe objection. And it is genuinely absent from the current Narrative Engineering framework.

This paper acknowledges that absence directly — and proposes how the framework must be extended to address it.

What Habituation Actually Is

Habituation is the reduction in ANS response to a repeated stimulus when the stimulus produces no novel consequence. It is phylogenetically ancient — present in every vertebrate nervous system. Its function is resource allocation: stop spending metabolic resources on stimuli that are familiar and non-threatening.

In narrative terms: a reader who has encountered the same Physical Matrix — same thermal gradient, same enclosed geometry, same acoustic baseline — across multiple texts will show diminishing ECG and GSC response on subsequent exposures.

The question is not whether this happens. It does. The question is what it means for the Bulut Doctrine — and what the engineering response is.

What Habituation Does Not Mean

Habituation is stimulus-specific. It is not generalised fatigue. A reader habituated to Matrix A does not show reduced response to Matrix B.

This is critical. The Bulut Doctrine does not propose a single universal Physical Matrix. It proposes a methodology for constructing Physical Matrices from six parameter classes. The habituation problem applies to a fixed, repeated matrix — not to the methodology itself.

> Habituation is not a problem for Narrative Engineering. It is a design constraint that Narrative Engineering must incorporate. These are different things.

The Engineering Response: Three Solutions

Solution 1 — Matrix Variation Across Exposure

The simplest response: do not repeat the same Physical Matrix. If a novelist constructs each scene from a distinct parameter configuration, habituation to any single matrix does not accumulate. This is already implicit in the Doctrine's scene-level specification — different scenes carry different Physical Matrices. The engineer's responsibility is to ensure matrix diversity across a narrative's exposure history.

Solution 2 — Sn-Driven Disruption

Habituation requires stimulus predictability. When a stimulus is unpredictable — when the nervous system cannot form a stable expectation — habituation is impeded. Narrative Entropy (Sn) is precisely the measure of narrative unpredictability. A text with sustained high If and active Cb maintains the nervous system in a state of expectation violation. Habituation requires settling. High Sn prevents settling.

This is not an ad hoc solution. It is a direct implication of the Sn framework that has not been formally stated until now: Sn functions as an anti-habituation mechanism.

Solution 3 — The Exposure Protocol

For controlled contexts — therapeutic writing, training materials, advertising — where the same matrix will be encountered repeatedly, an exposure protocol can be specified: deliberate variation in parameter intensity across sessions, with recalibration periods. This is standard practice in exposure therapy and sensory adaptation research. It can be formalised as a Narrative Engineering procedure.

The Formal Addition to the Framework

Habituation introduces a temporal dimension that the current static Physical Matrix specification does not capture. The extension required is a Temporal Matrix Stability function:

Variable	Definition	Engineering implication
Bo(t)	Biophysical Output as a function of exposure number t	Not a constant — decays with repeated identical exposure
H(t)	Habituation coefficient at exposure t (0.0 to 1.0)	Measures accumulated response reduction
Sn modifier	High Sn suppresses H(t) accumulation	High-entropy texts resist habituation
Matrix diversity D	Number of distinct Physical Matrices across exposures	Increasing D resets H(t) toward baseline

This extension does not require revision of the core Doctrine. It requires addition of a temporal dimension to the Physical Matrix specification. OPCT v3.0 — a future protocol — will need to test Bo across multiple exposures to the same and varied matrices.

What This Changes — And What It Does Not

Claim	Status after habituation extension
Physical Matrix produces measurable ANS activation	Unchanged — first exposure claim holds
Repeated identical matrix produces stable response	Revised — habituation acknowledged, three engineering solutions proposed
High-Sn texts resist habituation	New formal claim — testable via OPCT extension
"Evrensel duygu makinesi" (universal emotion machine)	Never claimed — $p<0.05$ population convergence claim unchanged

Related Publications

→ **OPCT v2.0** DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19415236 | OSF: osf.io/us8bw

→ **Narrative Entropy (Sn)** DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18652451

→ **Probabilistic Convergence** DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19164277

→ **Architectural Framework** DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18689179

Bulut, L. (2026). The Habituation Problem: Repeated Exposure and the Limits of a Fixed Physical Matrix. Narrative Engineering Laboratory. https://leventbulut.com/